REVIEWING PROCESS OF ARTICLES

This methodology is to propose for publication in scientific works with high quality standards. Each proposed work is reviewed by two disciplinary area readers of the work, established by the Editorial Board. Reviewing proposed work is done according to the following form:

1. **Originality**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

2. **Overview, conclusions**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

3. **Bibliography**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

4. **Fundamental aspects**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

5. **Application aspects**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

6. **Theory**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

7. **Experimental**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

8. **Language**
- Good
- Average
- Poor

9. **Reviewer Blind Comments to Author**
- **Type:** Comment

10. **Reviewer Confidential Comments to Editor**
- **Type:** Comment

11. **Overall Manuscript Rating (1 - 100)**
- **Type:** Comment

12. **Recommendation**
- Accepted
- Minor Revision
- Major Revision
- Rejected