# **REVIEWING PROCESS OF ARTICLES – EVALUATION FORM**

PAPER TITLE:	 	
Author name/surname:		
Reviewer name/surname:		
Date of review:		

This methodology is to propose for publication in scientific works with high quality standards. Each proposed work is reviewed by two disciplinary area readers of the work, established by the Editorial Board.

The reviewer will circle the indicator for each of the evaluated criteria and add comments about the paper.

Reviewing proposed work is done according to the following form:

- 1. Originality
- Good
- Average
- Poor
- 2. Overview, conclusions
- Good
- Average
- Poor

#### 3. Bibliography

- Good
- Average
- Poor

#### 4. Fundamental aspects

- Good
- Average
- Poor

- 5. Application aspects
- Good
- Average
- Poor

#### 6. Theory

- Good
- Average
- Poor

#### 7. Experimental

- Good
- Average
- Poor
- 8. Language
- Good
- Average
- Poor
- 9. Reviewer Blind Comments to Author

### **10. Reviewer Confidential Comments to Editor**

# 11. Overall Manuscript Rating (1 - 100)

• Type: Comment \_\_\_\_\_

## 12. Recommendation

- Accepted
  Minor Revision
  Major Revision
  Rejected

**Reviewer signature**