

A GENERALIZATION OF DVORETZKY'S STOCHASTIC APPROXIMATION THEOREM

Neculai CRÎȘMARU

"G. Bacovia" University, Bacau, Romania (crismaru_nicolae@yahoo.com)

DOI: 10.19062/1842-9238.2017.15.2.13

Abstract: This presentation contains a generalization of one of Dvoretzky's well-known stochastic approximation theorem. This generalization is founded on the extension of one of Derman and Sacks's lemmas which was the basis of a result given by the two authors in relation to Dvoretzky's stochastic approximation theorem.

Keywords: stochastic approximation theorems, Dvoretzky's theorem, Derman-Sacks lemma.

1. INTRODUCTION

For some time, the stochastic approximation has become a subject of interest for many researchers in different fundamental and applied fields. There are two famous algorithms which are very often utilized in the research of stochastic approximations (among other algorithms): the Robbins-Monro algorithm ([5], [6]) and the Kiefer-Wolfowitz algorithm ([4]). A short time after these stochastic approximation algorithms appeared, A. Dvoretzky ([3]) discovered an approximation algorithm which generalizes the two previously mentioned algorithms. As a result of the particular interest generated by this new algorithm, many researchers have started studying it. Two of these researchers are worth mentioning: Derman and Sacks ([2]), who found a demonstration (based on a technical lemma) which is shorter and easier than that Dvoretzky's initial theorem, which has a rather lengthy and more difficult to apprehend demonstration. This presentation contains a generalization of Dvoretzky's initial theorem realized by means of a generalization (among many others) of Derman and Sacks's lemma. Firstly, we will provide Dvoretzky's initial theorem without its demonstration and Derman and Sacks's lemma for a better understanding of the starting point of this research.

Dvoretzky's Theorem ([3], pp. 39-55)

Consider a probability space (Ω, K, P) .

Let be $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $\alpha_n > 0, \beta_n \geq 0, \gamma_n \geq 0,$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \alpha_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \beta_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \gamma_n = +\infty.$ Let be θ a real number and

$(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ a sequence of real and measurable functions which satisfies:

$|T_n(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) - \theta| \leq \max\{\alpha_n; (1 + \beta_n)|r_n - \theta| - \gamma_n\}, \forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\})$ for every

real numbers $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n.$

Let be the sequences of random variables: $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*},$ such that

$X_{n+1}(\omega) = T_n(X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)) + Y_n(\omega)$ (a.s.), $\forall \omega \in \Omega,$

$\forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}).$

Suppose that the following conditions hold:

$$E[Y_1^2] < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} E[Y_n^2] < +\infty, \text{ and } E[Y_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = 0 \text{ (a.s.)}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

In the conditions above there exist:

$$E[(X_n - \theta)^2] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \text{ with the probability one, and}$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta \text{ with the probability one.}$$

Lema (Derman și Sacks)([2])

Let be $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, sequences of real numbers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset [0, +\infty), \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (a_n) = 0; \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n < +\infty;$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n = +\infty; \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty \text{ și } \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*, \text{ such that for every } n > N_0 \text{ we have:}$$

$$x_{n+1} \leq \max\{a_n; (1+b_n)x_n + \delta_n - c_n\}. \text{ Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (x_n) = 0.$$

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Before we provide the generalization of Dvoretzky's theorem, we will offer a series of helping lemmas, some of which are generalization of Derman and Sack's lemma.

Lemma 1.

Let be the sequences of real number: $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that we have:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \tag{1}$$

$$a_n, b_n, c_n, e_n, x_n \geq 0, \delta_n, a_n \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \tag{2}$$

$$a_n \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n = +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty, \text{ and}$$

$$d_n = \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, d_n \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3}$$

$$|\delta_n| \leq c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } (x_2 \geq 1 \text{ or } x_1 \geq 1), \tag{4}$$

$$\text{note that: } K = \max\{x_1, x_2\}, \tag{5}$$

$$\text{suppose that the following conditions holds: } b_n + e_{n-1} \leq \frac{-d_{n-1}}{K}, \tag{6}$$

$$\forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}).$$

Hence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded and $0 \leq x_n \leq K$.

PROOF: From (3) and (4) it result that $d_n = \delta_n - c_n \leq |\delta_n| - c_n \leq 0$, so we have:

$$d_n \leq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \tag{7}$$

$$\text{From (5) it result } K \geq 1 \tag{8}$$

For $n=1$ from (1) we obtain:

$$0 \leq x_3 \leq \max\{a_2; (1+b_2)x_2 + e_1 x_1 + d_1\}, \tag{9}$$

We have the inequality :

$$(1+b_2)x_2 + e_1 x_1 + d_1 \leq (1+b_2)K + e_1 K + d_1 \leq K(1+b_2 + e_1 + \frac{d_1}{K}) \tag{10}$$

For $n=2$ from (6) we obtain: $b_2 + e_1 + \frac{d_1}{K} \leq 0$ and from (10) we have:

$$(1+b_2)x_2 + e_1 x_1 + d_1 \leq K. \quad (11)$$

From (2), (8), (9) and (11) it result: $0 \leq x_3 \leq \max\{a_2; K\} = K$, so we have:

$$0 \leq x_3 \leq K \quad (12)$$

By induction we have:

$$(1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n \leq K(1+b_{n+1} + e_n + \frac{d_n}{K}), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (13)$$

in (6) we replace n with $(n+1)$ and we obtain:

$$b_{n+1} + e_n + \frac{d_n}{K} \leq 0 \text{ and from (13) it result: } (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n \leq K, \text{ and from}$$

(1) and (2) we obtain:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; K\} = K, \text{ so } 0 \leq x_n \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \text{ so}$$

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \text{ is bounded and } 0 \leq x_n \leq K \text{ (q.e.d.)}$$

Lemma 2.

Let be the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that we have:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (1)$$

$$a_n, b_n, c_n, e_n, x_n \geq 0, \delta_n, a_n \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (2)$$

$$a_n \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n = +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty, \quad (3)$$

$$d_n = \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, d_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4)$$

$$|\delta_n| \leq c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (5)$$

$x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $(x_2 \geq 1 \text{ or } x_1 \geq 1)$, and we note that:

$$K = \max\{x_1, x_2\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Suppose that the following holds: } b_n + e_{n-1} \leq \frac{-d_{n-1}}{K}, \forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}). \quad (7)$$

Hence $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

PROOF: From the previous lemma, (lemma 1.) we have that the sequence

$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded and

$0 \leq x_n \leq K$ and from (1) we have:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n K + d_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (8)$$

Note that:

$$e_n K + d_n = \tilde{d}_n \quad (9)$$

so we have $0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + \tilde{d}_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and from (4) we have:

$$\text{with } \tilde{d}_n = e_n K + \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (10)$$

Facem acum altă notație:

$$e_n K + \delta_n = \tilde{\delta}_n \quad (11)$$

Using these notes (10) becomes:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + \tilde{\delta}_n - c_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \text{ with } \tilde{d}_n = \tilde{\delta}_n - c_n, \quad (12)$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

and from (3) it result:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tilde{\delta}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e_n K + \delta_n) = K \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty, \quad (13)$$

At this stage all the hypotheses of Derman and Sacks's lemma ([2], pp. 602) or of lemma 1. in this paper, so $x_n \rightarrow 0$ (q.e.d.).

Lemma 3.

Let be the real sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that we have:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (1)$$

$$a_n, b_n, c_n, e_n, x_n \geq 0, \delta_n, a_n \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (2)$$

$$a_n \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n = +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty, \quad (3)$$

$$d_n = \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, d_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4)$$

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \text{ is bounded, } 0 \leq x_n \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \quad (5)$$

Hence $x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$.

PROOF: From (1) and (5) we have: $0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n K + d_n\}$,
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\text{Note that: } e_n K + d_n = \tilde{d}_n \quad (6)$$

so we have $0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + \tilde{d}_n\}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\text{with } \tilde{d}_n = e_n K + \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (7)$$

$$\text{we add another note: } e_n K + \delta_n = \tilde{\delta}_n \quad (8)$$

Using these notes (7) becomes:

$$0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + \tilde{\delta}_n - c_n\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (9)$$

with $\tilde{d}_n = \tilde{\delta}_n - c_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, in wich, from (3) results that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tilde{\delta}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e_n K + \delta_n) = K \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty.$$

At this stage all hypotheses of Derman and Sack's lemma hold ([2], pag. 602), so $x_n \rightarrow 0$ (q.e.d.).

Lemma 4.

Let be the real sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that we have (for a chosen and fixed K):

$$1) (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \text{ is bounded, } 0 \leq x_n \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, K > 0,$$

$$2) 0 \leq x_{n+2} \leq \min\{\max\{a_{n+1}; (1+b_{n+1})x_{n+1} + e_n x_n + d_n\}; K\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

$$3) a_n, b_n, c_n, e_n, x_n \geq 0, \delta_n, a_n \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

$$4) a_n \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n = +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n < +\infty,$$

$$5) d_n = \delta_n - c_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, d_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

PROOF: See lemma 3.

We now provide the main result of this presentation, result which is a generalization of one of Dvoretzky's stochastic approximation theorem.

Theorem

Let be sequences $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that the following conditions hold : X_n, Y_n are random variables on a probability space (Ω, K, P) ,

and X_i are random variables, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and $T_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, X_1, X_2 are measurable applications such that $(X_1 \text{ or } X_2) \geq 1$ (a.s.), and the following conditions hold:

$$X_{n+1} = T_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) + Y_n \text{ (a.s.)} \tag{1}$$

$$E[Y_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = 0 \text{ (a.s.)}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} E[Y_n^2] < +\infty, \tag{3}$$

$$|T_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \max\{\alpha_n; (1 + \beta_n)|x_n| + e_{n-1}|x_{n-1}| - \gamma_n\}, \tag{4}$$

$\forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\})$.

Let be the sequences $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, such that:

$$\alpha_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \beta_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e_n < +\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \gamma_n = +\infty, \text{ and} \tag{5}$$

$$\alpha_n > 0, \beta_n \geq 0, \gamma_n \geq 0, e_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \alpha_n \leq 1, \tag{6}$$

$$|Y_n| \leq \gamma_n \text{ (a.s.)}. \text{ Note that } K = \max\{X_1, X_2\}, \tag{7}$$

and suppose that:

$$\beta_n + e_n \leq \frac{1}{K} (|Y_{n-1}| - \gamma_n) \text{ (a.s.)}, \forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}). \tag{8}$$

So we have:

a) $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded (a.s.), $|X_n| \leq K$, (a.s.) $\forall n \in (\mathbb{N}^* - \{1\})$ and

b) $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ (a.s.).

PROOF:

As in the demonstration of theorem 1. from ([2], pp. 603), we suppose that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} E[Y_n^2] < +\infty \tag{9}$$

We define the random variables:

$$Z_n = \text{sign}(T_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)) Y_n$$

Hence for Z_n (2), (3) and (4) hold.

But from (2) and (3) we have (as in [2], pp. 603):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} Z_n = \text{convergent (a.s.) and } |Z_n| = |Y_n| \tag{9}$$

From (8), the Cebisev inequality and the Borell-Cantelli lemma we have:

$$|Z_n| \leq \alpha_n \quad (\text{a.s.}) \tag{10}$$

But from (1) and (10) we have:

$$|X_{n+1}| \leq 2\alpha_n, \text{ dacă } |T_n| \leq \alpha_n \text{ and } |X_{n+1}| = |T_n| + Z_n, \text{ if } |T_n| > \alpha_n \tag{11}$$

So we have (a.s.):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |X_{n+1}| &\leq \max\{2\alpha_n; |T_n| + Z_n\} \leq \\ &\leq \max\{2\alpha_n; (1+\beta_n)|X_n| + e_{n-1}|X_{n-1}| + Z_n - \gamma_n\} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

If we note:

$$|X_n| = x_n, a_n = 2\alpha_n, b_n = \beta_n, c_n = \gamma_n, \delta_n = Z_n, d_n = \delta_n - c_n,$$

then all the conditions from the hypotheses in lemma 1. hold, we have:

$(|X_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded and

$$|X_n| \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \tag{13}$$

But from (12) and (13) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |X_{n+1}| &\leq \max\{2\alpha_n; (1+\beta_n)|X_n| + e_{n-1}K + Z_n - \gamma_n\} = \\ &= \max\{2\alpha_n; (1+\beta_n)|X_n| + (e_{n-1}K + Z_n) - \gamma_n\} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\text{But } \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} (e_{n-1}K + Z_n) = K \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} e_{n-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} Z_n < +\infty \text{ (see. (9)).}$$

If we note:

$$a_n = 2\alpha_n, b_n = \beta_n, c_n = \gamma_n, \delta_n = Ke_n + Z_n, x_n = |X_n| \tag{15}$$

then, using the notes from (15) it results that all the conditions from the hypotheses in lemma 2. (or in lemma 1 of Derman and Sacks from [2], pp. 602), so we have:

$$x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \text{ that is } |X_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \text{ (a.s.)}, \text{ so } X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \text{ (a.s.) (q.e.d.)}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, Han-Fu. , *Stochastic Approximation and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003, pp. 5;
- [2] Derman, C. and Sacks, J. "On Dvoretzky's stochastic approximation theorem". *Anal. of Math. Stat.* (1959) 30 pp. 601-605;
- [3] Dvoretzky, A. (1956). *On Stochastic Approximation*. Proc. Third Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. 1 pp.39-55;
- [4] Kiefer J. and Wolfowitz J. "Stochastic estimation of the maximum of a regression function", *Annals of Mth. Stat.* , vol. 33(1952), pp. 462-466;
- [5] Orman G. V. , *Handboock of Limit Theorems and Stochastic Approximation*, "Transilvania" University Press, Braşov, 2003;
- [6] Robbins, H. , Monro, S. , *A Stochastic Approximation Method* . *Anal. of Math. Stat.* Vol. 22. (1951), pp. 400-407.